



TSPL/CERC/AK/JUL-24/87

Date: 25 July 2024

To, The Secretary, Central Electricity Regulatory Commission, 7th Floor, Tower B, World Trade Centre, Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi- 110029

Subject:Comments on the revised compensation mechanism on account of the
installation of the Emission Control System.

Dear Sir,

This is with reference to the above subject wherein the Hon'ble Central Electricity Regulatory Commission by way of Draft Order in Suo Motu Petition 04/SM/2024 dated 03.07.2024 has invited comments/suggestions/objections from the stakeholders and interested persons on the provisions of the above draft paper.

Talwandi Sabo Power Limited (TSPL) as a Generating Company submits suggestions on the "Revised compensation mechanism on account of installation of emission control system, proposed in draft Order in Suo Motu petition no. 4/SM/2024".

This is submitted for your kind consideration.

Thanking You, Yours Sincerely, For **Talwandi Sabo Power Ltd.**

men fim

Arun Kumar Head – Advocacy, Regulatory & Legal Affairs

Enclosure: As Above.

Sno.	Cl no.	Draft Order Suo motu petition no. 4/SM/2024	TSPL Comments	Rationale
				It is stated that huge expenditures are required to be done by generators to install ECS. If the term of the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) is not extended by the procurer, the generator would suffer huge losses. The PPA does not provide for automatic extension beyond 25 years.
1	12 (a), (b)	Depreciation: 70% of the additional CAPEX (considering salvage value to 10%) shall be recovered in 12 years. 30% of the CAPEX shall be recovered from 13th year till balance operational life of plant	The additional capital expenditure should be recoverable within the duration of the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) to ensure that the affected party is returned to the same economic position as if the change in law had not taken place.	that useful life of ECS is around 15 years and all costs to be recovered accordingly. In case of

TSPL Comments on CERC draft Order Suo Motu petition no. 4/SM/2024

Page 2 of 5

Sno.	Cl no.	Draft Order Suo motu petition no. 4/SM/2024	TSPL Comments	Rationale
				the plant for want of money to correct the FGD at that time.
2	17	Operation and Maintenance Expenses: 2.0% of ACEe excluding IDC, IEDC, FERV for first year. From Second year onwards, 2.0% of ACEe to be escalated at 5.25%	In case the Operation and Maintenance Expenses by the Generator could not be recovered on actuals in the present form, there should be a provision for recovery on actuals to ensure that the affected party is returned to the same economic position as if the change in law had not taken place. Furthermore, Sale of gypsum should not be reduced from O&M expenses as there will be additional cost in handling and disposal of gypsum.	It is submitted that the generators incur additional cost/loss on account of disposal of the byproducts (generated only on account of installation of the emission control system) including in meeting the directives for scientific disposal by various statutory bodies. As such, the same shall also be made recoverable from the beneficiary. This is because if any new requirement, on account of change in law, such as the requirement to install FGD, any excess expenditure incurred ought to be recovered by the generator. This is in line with Section 61(d) of the Electricity Act, 2003 which guarantees that all reasonable costs associated with generation of electricity ought to be allowed.
3	29	Servicing cost of additional CAPEX COCe, COCe(y) = [NFA(y) x RI(y) /100] where RI(y)= SBI MCLR (one year as on 1st April of the year) plus 250 bps	The installation of the Emission Control System (ECS) should be treated as a new project, with the Return on Investment (ROI) evaluated accordingly. The capital infusion and operational expenditure for the ECS installation form part of the overall cost of electricity supplied	It is submitted that this Hon'ble Commission proposed the aforementioned with a view that i would be reasonable to allow equity infused by the generating company for installing emission control system at the cost of borrowing from financial institution. However, it is humbly submitted that the said approach may be re looked on account of the following:

Sno.	Cl no.	Draft Order Suo motu petition no. 4/SM/2024	TSPL Comments	Rationale
			to consumers. Therefore, the cost recovery should be structured in a manner that ensures the ROI is consistent with that of a new project.	provides that the Commission, while specifying
				i. The above principle is also recognized under para 5.11 (a) of the Revised Tariff Policy, which is as follows:
				"5.11
				a) Return on Investment
				Balance needs to be maintained between the interests of consumers and the need for investments while laying down rate of return. Return should attract investments at par with, if not in preference to, other sectors so that the electricity sector is able to create adequate capacity. The rate of return should be such that it allows generation of reasonable surplus for growth of the sector"
				ii. The infused capital and operational expenditure on installation of emission control system is part of cost of electricity supplied to consumer. Therefore, recovery of cost of

X Page 4 of 5

Sno.	Cl no.	Draft Order Suo motu petition no. 4/SM/2024	TSPL Comments	Rationale
				 electricity should be done in a reasonable manner However, the cost of equity at cost of Debt level as proposed by this Hon'ble Commission is not aligned with the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003, and the policies under the said Act (i.e. the Revised Tariff Policy, 2006); Additionally in terms of the PPA the parties affected by Change in Law should be restored to the same economic position as if the Change in Law had not occurred.

M